



Survey for the Conference of EU Speakers on "Raising European Awareness"

1. Did your Parliamentary Chamber participate in the exercise?
☐ Yes | ☐ No

2. Besides Members of Parliament, who participated in the debate?
☐ Foreign Minister
☐ Commissioner (please specify which Commissioner)
☐ Civil Servant(s) from the Government
☐ Civil Servant(s) from the Commission
☐ Member(s) of the European Parliament
☐ Other (please specify)
 - a. If yes (in 1) what type of debate took place?
☐ Debate in the Plenary
☐ Debate in the European Affairs Committee
☐ Debate in another committee (please specify which committee)
☐ Public Hearing
☐ Joint Committee Debate
☐ Other (please specify)

 - b. If no (in 1), for what reason(s) did you not participate in the exercise?
☐ Time constraints
☐ Scheduling problems
☐ A political decision was taken not to participate
☐ An administrative decision was taken not to participate
☐ Other (please specify)

3. Would your Parliamentary Chamber like to participate in a coinciding debate on the Annual Legislative and Work Programme on an annual basis?

☐ Yes | ☐ No

Additional Comments?

4. Did your Parliamentary Chamber review the results / planning of the debates in other National Parliaments via the website of Conference of Speakers?

☐ Yes | ☐ No

Additional Comments?

5. What was the documentary basis of the debate?

☐ The legislative and work programme **and** the indicative list of Commission actions expected to be adopted (outside the Work Programme)

☐ Only the legislative and work programme

☐ Other (please specify)

Additional Comments?

6. Has your Parliament (or is it considering) sending a list of proposals from the LWP that it wishes to be the subject for the subsidiarity and proportionality check agreed by the XXXIV COSAC in London?

☐ Yes | ☐ No

Additional Comments?

7. Should there be a correlation between the annual debate of the Legislative and Work Programme and the subsidiarity and proportionality check as envisioned by COSAC?

☐ Yes | ☐ No

Additional Comments?

8. When should a coinciding debate in the National Parliaments take place?

☐ During the month following the Commissions presentation of the LWP

☐ During the first two weeks following the Commissions presentation of the LWP

☐ During the week following the Commissions presentation of the LWP

☐ Other (please specify)

Additional Comments?

9. Is the Commission's Annual Legislative and Work Programme an adequate point of departure for a debate on forthcoming legislative initiatives?

☐ Yes | ☐ No

Additional Comments?

A main objective of participating in an annual (coinciding) debate on the Commission's Annual Legislative and Work Programme is to raise public awareness on European issues through focusing attention in all member states on EU matters during a specified and narrow timeframe.

10. Based on this objective, do you consider the debate in your Parliament a success?

☐ Yes | ☐ No

Additional Comments?

11. Do you consider the debate a success in relation to other criteria, please specify?

☐ Yes | ☐ No

Additional Comments?

12. In the opinion of your Parliamentary Chamber, what changes (if any) are considered necessary in order to improve the procedure?

Comments

13. In what way (if any) can the Commission's Annual Legislative and Work Programme to your National Parliament be improved, in an effort to improve the flow of information to the National Parliaments?

Comments